	H195gam1	trial	
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK		
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW		
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	Α,	
4	V •		15 Cr. 588 (ER)
5	AHMED MOHAMMED EL GAMMAI	L,	
6	Defendant	t.	
7		x	
8			New York, N.Y. January 9, 2017
9			9:30 a.m.
10	Before:		
11	HON. EDGARDO RAMOS,		
12			District Judge
13			_
14	APPEARANCES		
15	PREET BHARARA United States Attorney for the		
16	Southern District of New York BRENDAN F. QUIGLEY NEGAR TEKEEI ANDREW J. DeFILIPPIS		
17			
18	Assistant United St	_	
19	FEDERAL DEFENDERS OF NEW YORK, INC. Attorneys for Defendant		
20	BY: SABRINA SHROFF ANNALISA MIRÓN		
21	DANIEL G. HABIB		
22			
23			
24			
25			
11			

H195gam1 trial 1 (Case called) THE DEPUTY CLERK: Counsel, please state your name for 2 3 the record. 4 THE COURT: Are we waiting for Mr. Habib? 5 MS. SHROFF: We are missing Mr. Habib -- there he is. 6 Thank you. 7 MR. QUIGLEY: Good morning, your Honor. Quigley, Negar Tekeei, Andrew DeFilippis for the United States. 8 9 We are joined by Vanessa Quinones who is a paralegal in our 10 office, and Agent Kamaal Collie. 11 THE COURT: Good morning. 12 MS. SHROFF: Good morning, your Honor. 13 Mr. El-Gammal, Federal Defenders of New York by Sabrina Shroff 14 Daniel Habib, Analisa Mirón and Hannah Sotnick. 15 THE COURT: Good morning to you all. Good morning 16 Mr. El-Gammal. 17 THE DEFENDANT: Good morning. 18 THE COURT: Is everyone prepared to proceed? Yes? 19 MS. SHROFF: Yes. 20 MR. QUIGLEY: Yes, your Honor. 21 THE COURT: There are three things that I think we 22 need to get done this morning, one being the allocution. I did

since the parties -- I don't believe we have gotten any comments from either side; is that correct?

have the allocution that I proposed to take of Mr. El-Gammal

23

24

25

H195gam1 trial 1 MR. QUIGLEY: That's correct, your Honor. 2 MS. SHROFF: That's correct, your Honor. 3 THE COURT: The other thing that we need to determine 4 are the question of the juror names and the issue concerning 5 the cross-examination of Mr. El Goarany and Agent McNulty, but 6 since we are all agreed, let me begin with the allocution of 7 Mr. El-Gammal. I am going to inquire regarding the government's offer of a plea and the communication of that 8 9 offer to the defendant. 10 Mr. El-Gammal, I want you to listen carefully to my 11 questions and the answers given by counsel. Okay? 12 First, for Mr. Quigley, did the government extend a 13 plea offer to Mr. El-Gammal? 14 MR. QUIGLEY: Yes, your Honor; we did. 15 THE COURT: Was it in writing? MR. QUIGLEY: It was, your Honor. 16 17 THE COURT: In the government's view, is the 18 defendant's sentencing exposure if he proceeds to trial and is 19 convicted on every count, greater than the exposure he would 20 face if he had accepted the plea offer? 21 MR. QUIGLEY: It is, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you.

22

23

24

25

For defense counsel, did defense counsel give the defendant a copy of the plea offer and discuss it with him?

MS. SHROFF: Yes, your Honor.

H195gam1 trial THE COURT: Did defense counsel discuss the 1 defendant's sentencing exposure if he went to trial and were 2 convicted on all counts? 3 4 MS. SHROFF: Yes, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: Did defense counsel make a recommendation to the defendant as to whether he should accept or reject the 6 7 plea offer? 8 MS. SHROFF: Yes, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Shroff. 10 Mr. El-Gammal, have you heard everything that's been 11 mentioned so far today? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Sir, have you taken any drugs, medicine, or pills, or had any alcohol in the last 24 hours? 14 15 THE DEFENDANT: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. El-Gammal, is your mind clear today? 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: Mr. El-Gammal, have you heard the 19 questions I asked the government attorney and your counsel and 20 their answers? 21 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Was your attorney's account of their 23 discussions with you accurate?

THE COURT: Did you see the government's plea offer

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

24

25

H195gam1 trial

and did you discuss it with your attorneys?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Have you rejected the government's plea offer?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. El-Gammal. You may be seated.

The next thing that I want to discuss is the issue of the jurors' names. I have determined to deny the government's request. I will be using the actual names of the jurors at least initially certainly when we call them up from the venire into the box. The case law that's been brought to my attention by both sides indicate that there are any number of factors that Courts take into account in determining whether or not to use juror names or to impanel an anonymous jury.

As Judge Forrest in the Mustafa case indicated, the impanelment of an anonymous jury is a drastic measure and is one that should be taken only in limited circumstances. This Circuit has realized that allowing an anonymous jury carries with it a possibility of unfair prejudice to the defendant and the danger of encroaching on the presumption of innocence.

In determining whether or not to impanel an anonymous jury, Courts in this Circuit have considered, among other factors, the charges against the defendant and whether they are serious charges, whether there is a substantial potential

threat of corruption of judicial process, and whether there is considerable media of the trial that is anticipated.

In this case, although it obviously involves a serious crime it is not, to my mind, so serious that it requires that the jurors' names not be used. I think this case is different from the case of Pugh in the Eastern District of New York in the very least and, importantly, because the Pugh case involved an allocution that the defendant attempted to corrupt, at least, the grand jury process. There are no such allegations here and why these charges are serious, I do note that the defendant is, based on my reading of the statutes, none of the counts that he is facing — he is facing four counts — two are 20—year counts, one s a 10—year count, and one is a five—year count. So, he is not facing life or anything close to that.

In addition, as the government noted in its prior submission to the Court, the defendant is accused of assisting the travel of a co-conspirator, he is not personally accused of committing any violent acts much less attacks on innocent New Yorkers. When you compare that, for example, to the Mustafa case and have some familiarity with this case because I, once upon a time, represented Mr. Mustafa's co-defendant in a trial before Judge Keenan, the charges there were much more serious including conspiracy to take hostages and the killing of hostages. That is with respect to Mr. Mustafa himself, the Defendant Mustafa, and Judge Forrest determined that that case

H195gam1

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was not so serious that it required the extraordinary step of impaneling an anonymous jury.

With respect to Mr. Al Kassir -- rather, Kassir who I am more familiar with, he was charged with providing material support to Al Qaeda and conspiring to kill, kidnap, maim, and injure persons in a foreign country and to conceal material support and resources to terrorist organization including al Qaeda, and in that case we did not undertake any measures to hide the jurors' names, etc.

So, what we are left with -- and then there is the third aspect that whether there is considerable media coverage of the trial that is anticipated. Clearly this case has garnered some media attention, it will likely garner some more.

I note that I have only received two requests from members of the press for bringing in computers or electronic I have not been deluged by the press with respect The Office of the District Executive has to any inquiries. prepared to deal with the media requests and asked me if we needed an overflow room, for example: I told them that I did not believe that we did.

So, I don't think that this is a case that will garner considerable or extraordinary media attention. And, as I indicated, there is absolutely no allegation or threat that's been brought to my attention, certainly that Mr. El-Gammal or any co-conspirator has in any way attempted to corrupt the

judicial process.

So, what we are left with is a case that involves ISIL, the mere incantation of your organizations whether it involves ISIL the case law is clear, is an insufficient basis upon which to impanel an anonymous jury. So, that constitutes the Court's decision in that regard. We will be using the jurors' names.

Now I, for what it is worth, I tend to be very cognizant of juror sensibility, and so when I ask them where they live I make sure that I tell them that they don't have to give me a precise address, they can just tell me what town they live in; they don't have to tell me precisely where they work or where a spouse works or where their children go to school or anything of that nature. If there is anything that they want to talk about at side bar I will happily accommodate them and, by the way, we should all be prepared to do a lot of jumping up and moving to side bar during the course of this jury selection, I believe.

Now, with respect to the cross-examination of Mr. El Goarany and Agent McNulty, I understand that the defense will not be cross-examining Agent McNulty concerning his teenage escapades.

With respect to Mr. El Goarany, I am granting the government's request that the defense not question him concerning the two items that took place in the late 1980s but

```
H195gam1
                                trial
      I did want to discuss with the parties the third item and I
1
2
      understand that this is all still, I guess, under seal, so what
3
      I want to do is discuss it with the parties in the robing room.
 4
               So, we can all get ready.
5
               (Continued on next page)
6
               (Pages 10-13 SEALED by order of the Court)
 7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

(In open court) THE COURT: As I indicated, it is going to be 22 folks in the box, seven in the first bench and seven in the second bench, and there will be 23, the one furthest to your right, and then 28 is, I guess, whatever, 29, on the second bench. (Recess) (Jury Voir Dire under separate cover)